arXiv:0803.2599v1 [math.RA] 18 Mar 2008

TWISTED GROUP RINGS WHOSE UNITS FORM AN *FC*-GROUP

Victor Bovdi

Abstract. Let $U(K_\lambda G)$ be the group of units of an infinite twisted group algebra $K_\lambda G$ over a field K. We describe the maximal FC-subgroup of $U(K_\lambda G)$ and give a characterization of $U(K_\lambda G)$ with finitely conjugacy classes. In the case of group algebras we obtain the Cliff-Sehgal-Zassenhaus' theorem.

1. Introduction. Let G be a group, K a field and $\lambda : G\times G \longmapsto U(K)$ a 2-cocycle of G with respect to the trivial action G. Then the twisted group algebra $K_\lambda G$ of G over field K is an associative K-algebra with basis $\{u_g \mid g\in G\}$ and with multiplication defined for all $g,h\in G$

$$u_g u_h = \lambda_{g,h} u_{gh}, \qquad (\lambda_{g,h}\in\lambda)$$

and using distributivity.

Let $U(K_\lambda G)$ be the group of units of $K_\lambda G$ and let ΔU be its subgroup consisting of all elements with finitely many conjugates in $U(K_\lambda G)$. This subgroup ΔU is called the FC-center of $U(K_\lambda G)$. Clearly, if $\Delta U = U(K_\lambda G)$, then $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group (group with finite conjugacy classes).

The problem to study the group of units of group rings with FC property was posed by S.K. Sehgal and H.J. Zassenhaus [1]. For a field K of characteristic 0 they described all groups G without subgroups of type p^∞ for which the group of units of the group algebra of G over K is an FC group. This was spelling for arbitrary groups by H.Cliff and S.K.Sehgal [2].

In this paper we describe the subgroup ΔU when $K_\lambda G$ is infinite. Let $t(\Delta U)$ be the group of all elements of finite order of ΔU. Then ΔU is a solvable group of length at most 3 and subgroup $t(\Delta U)$ is of nilpotent class at most 2. This is new even for group algebras. We use this result for characterization of those cases when $U(K_\lambda G)$ has FC property, and obtain a generation of the Cliff-Sehgal-Zassenhaus theorem for twisted group algebras.

Research supported by the OTKA Research grant N: T014279

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

2. The FC center of $U(K_\lambda G)$. By the Theorem of B.H. Neumann [3] the elements of finite order in ΔU form a normal subgroup which we denote by $t(\Delta U)$, and the factorgroup $\Delta U/t(\Delta U)$ is a torsion free abelian group. Evidently, $\overline{G} = \{\kappa u_a \mid \kappa \in U(K), a \in G\}$ is a subgroup in $U(K_\lambda G)$, while $U(K)$ is a normal subgroup in $\overline{G}$, with factorgroup $\overline{G}/U(K)$ isomorphic to G.

If x is a nilpotent element of the ring $K_\lambda G$ then the element $y = 1 + x$ is a unit in $K_\lambda G$ and is referred to as a unipotent element of $U(K_\lambda G)$.

Let $\zeta(G)$ be the center of group G and $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh \qquad (g, h \in G)$.

Lemma 1. *Let $K_\lambda G$ be an infinite twisted group algebra. Then all unipotent elements of the subgroup ΔU are central in ΔU.*

Proof. Let $y = 1 + x$ be a unipotent element of ΔU and $v \in \Delta U$. Then for a positive integer k we have $x^k = 0$ and by induction on k we will prove $vx = xv$.

The subgroup $\overline{G} = \{\kappa u_a \mid \kappa \in U(K), a \in G\}$ is infinite and by Poincaré's Theorem the centralizer S of the subset $\{v, y\}$ of $\overline{G}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $\overline{G}$. Since $\overline{G}$ is infinite, S is infinite and $fy = yf$ for all $f \in S$. Then xf is nilpotent and $1 + xf$ is a unit in $K_\lambda G$. We can see easily that the set $\{(1 + xf)^{-1}v(1 + xf) \mid f \in S\}$ is finite. Let $v_1, \dots, v_t$ be the elements of this set and $W_i = \{f \in S \mid (1+xf)^{-1}v(1+xf) = v_i\}$. Then $S = \cup W_i$ and there exists an index j such that W_j is infinite. Fix an element $f \in W_j$. Any element $q \in W_j$, $q \neq f$ satisfies

$$(1 + xf)^{-1}v(1 + xf) = (1 + xq)^{-1}v(1 + xq)$$

and

$$v(1 + xf)(1 + xq)^{-1} = (1 + xf)(1 + xq)^{-1}v.$$

Then

$$\begin{gathered} v\{(1 + xq) + (xf - xq)\}(1 + xq)^{-1} = \{(1 + xq) + (xf - xq)\}(1 + xq)^{-1}v, \\ v(1 + x(f - q)(1 + xq)^{-1}) = (1 + x(f - q)(1 + xq)^{-1})v \end{gathered}$$

and

$$vx(f - q)(1 + xq)^{-1} = x(f - q)(1 + xq)^{-1}v. \tag{1}$$

Now we use the induction mentioned above. For $k = 1$ the statement is trivial; so we suppose it is true for all $1 \leq n < k$, where $k \geq 2$ is any given integers.

If $m \geq 2$, then by induction hypothesis $x^m v = vx^m$ for all $v \in \Delta U$. Clearly, if $i \geq 1$ then

$$x(f - g)x^i q^i v = (f - g)x^{i+1}q^i v = (f - g)vx^{i+1}q^i = vx(f - g)x^i q^i.$$

From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &vx(f - q)(1 - xq + x^2q^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{k-1}x^{k-1}q^{k-1}) \\ &\qquad = x(f - q)(1 - xq + x^2q^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{k-1}x^{k-1}q^{k-1})v. \end{aligned}$$

So $(f-q)(vx-xv)=0$.

Now suppose $xv \neq vx$. The element $q^{-1}f \in \overline{G}$ can be written as λu_h $(\lambda \in U(K), h \in G)$. By $vx - xv = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i u_{g_i} \neq 0$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda \alpha_i u_h u_{g_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i u_{g_i} = 0.$$

If $h \in G$ satisfies this equation, then $g_1 = hg_j$ for some j, and the number of such elements h is finite. Since $W_j = \{\lambda u_h \mid \lambda \in U(K)\}$ is an infinite set, there exist h and different elements $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K$ such that $\lambda_1 u_h, \lambda_2 u_h \in W_j$. Then $(\lambda_i u_h - 1)(vx - xv) = 0, \quad (i = 1, 2)$ and we obtain $(\lambda_1 u_h - \lambda_2 u_h)(vx - xv) = 0$. This condition is satisfied only if $vx = xv$ but does not hold. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let $K_\lambda G$ be an infinite twisted group algebra, H a finite subgroup of ΔU and L_H the subalgebra of $K_\lambda G$ generated by H. Then the group of units $U(L_H)$ of the algebra L_H is contained in ΔU, and the factorgroup $U(L_H)/(1 + J(L_H))$ is abelian.*

Proof. If H is a finite subgroup of ΔU and L_H is the subalgebra of $K_\lambda G$ generated by H, then L_H is a finite algebra over K and its radical $J(L_H)$ is nilpotent. Then $U(L_H)$ is a subgroup of ΔU and by Lemma 1 all unipotent elements of $U(L_H)$ are central in ΔU. Therefore $1 + J(L_H)$ is a central subgroup of ΔU and $J(L_H) \subset \zeta(L_H)$, where $\zeta(L_H)$ is the center of L_H. Then by Theorem 48.3 in [4] (p.209)

$$L_H = L_H e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_H e_n \oplus N, \tag{2}$$

where $L_H e_i$ is a semiprime algebra (i.e. $L_H e_i / J(L_H e_i)$ is a division ring), N is a commutative artinian radical algebra, $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are pairwise orthogonal idempotents. By Lemma 13.2 in [4] (*p*.57) any idempotent e_i is central in L_H and $U(L_H e_i)$ is isomorphic to the subgroup $\langle 1 - e_i + ze_i \mid z \in U(L_H) \rangle$ of $U(L_H)$.

Since $U(L_H e_i)$ is a subgroup of the FC-group ΔU, it is an FC-group, too. As $J(L_H e_i)$ is nilpotent (see [5]),

$$U(L_H e_i)/(1 + J(L_H e_i)) \cong U(L_H e_i / J(L_H e_i)). \tag{3}$$

By Scott's Theorem [7], in the skewfield $L_H e_i / J(L_H e_i)$ every nonzero element is either central or its conjugacy class is infinite. Thus the FC-group $U(L_H e_i)/(1 + J(L_H e_i))$ is abelian.

Decomposition (2) implies

$$L_H / J(L_H) \cong L_H e_1 / J(L_H e_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L_H e_n / J(L_H e_n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U(L_H)/(1 + J(L_H)) &\cong U(L_H / J(L_H)) \\ &\cong U(L_H e_1 / J(L_H e_1)) \times \cdots \times U(L_H e_n / J(L_H e_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $U(L_H)/(1 + J(L_H))$ is abelian. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let $K_\lambda G$ be an infinite twisted group algebra and $t(\Delta U)$ the subgroup of ΔU consisting of all elements of finite order in ΔU. Then all elements of the commutator subgroup of $t(\Delta U)$ are unipotent and central in ΔU.*

Proof. Let H be a finite subgroup of $t(\Delta U)$ and L_H be the subalgebra of $K_\lambda G$, generated by H. Then the elements of the subgroup $H_1 = H \cap (1 + J(L_H))$ are unipotent and (by Lemma 1) central in ΔU. The subgroup $H(1 + J(L_H))$ is contained in $U(L_H)$ and

$$H/H_1 = H/(H \cap (1 + J(L_H))) \cong (H(1 + J(L_H)))/(1 + J(L_H)).$$

By Lemma 2 the factorgroup $U(L_H)/(1 + J(L_H))$ is abelian. So H/H_1 is abelian and the commutator subgroup of H is contained in H_1 and consists of unipotent elements.

Since the commutator subgroup of $t(\Delta U)$ is the union of the commutator subgroups of the finite subgroups of $t(\Delta U)$, all elements of the commutator subgroup of $t(\Delta U)$ are unipotent and are central in ΔU. □

Theorem 2. *Let $K_\lambda G$ be an infinite twisted group algebra such that $char(K)$ does not divide the order of any element of the subgroup ΔG. Then $t(\Delta U)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Let H be a finite subgroup of the commutator subgroup of $t(\Delta U)$. Then (by Theorem 1) H is contained in the center of ΔU. The set $\{u_g^{-1} H u_g \mid g \in \Delta G\}$ contains only a finite number of subgroups $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_t$. The subgroup $L = H_1 \cdot H_2 \cdots H_t$ is finite and is invariant under the inner automorphism $f_g(x) = u_g^{-1} x u_g$ of the ring $K_\lambda \Delta G$, where $g \in \Delta G$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_s$ be all elements of L. Then $y_i = x_i - 1$ is a nilpotent element, and in the commutative ring L the elements $y_1, \dots, y_s$ commute. Therefore

$$J \cong \{\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i y_i \mid \alpha_i \in K, x_i = y_i + 1 \in L\}$$

is a nilpotent subring. Let

$$F = \{\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i y_i z_i \mid \alpha_i \in K, x_i = y_i + 1 \in L, z_i \in K_\lambda \Delta G\}.$$

Let us prove that F is a nilpotent right ideal of $K_\lambda \Delta G$. If $z = \sum_j \beta_j u_{g_j} \in K_\lambda \Delta G$ then $y_i z = \sum_j \beta_j u_{g_j} u_{g_j}^{-1} y_i u_{g_j}$, and $u_{g_j}^{-1} y_i u_{g_j}$ equals one of the elements $y_1, \dots, y_s$. This and the nilpotency of the ring J imply that F is a nilpotent ring. By Passman's Theorem [6], if $char(K)$ does not divide the order of any element of ΔG then $K_\lambda \Delta G$ does not contain nilideals. Therefore $F = 0$, $L = 1$ and the commutator subgroup $t(\Delta U)$ is trivial, so $t(\Delta U)$ is abelian. □

Corollary. *Let $K_\lambda \Delta G$ be an infinite twisted group algebra. Then ΔU is a solvable group of length at most 3, and subgroup $t(\Delta U)$ is of nilpotent class at most 2.*

3. The FC property of $U(K_\lambda G)$.

Lemma 3. *Let L be a subfield of the twisted group algebra $K_\lambda G$, where K is a subfield of L, $g \in G$ an element of order n and*

$$\lambda_g = u_g^n = \lambda_{g,g}\lambda_{g,g^2}\cdots\lambda_{g,g^{n-1}}.$$

If $\alpha^n \neq \lambda_g$ for some $\alpha \in L$ and $\alpha u_g = u_g \alpha$ then $u_g - \alpha$ is a unit in $K_\lambda G$. Furthermore, if L is an infinite field then the number of such units is infinite.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in L, \alpha^n \neq \lambda_g$ and $u_g\alpha = \alpha u_g$. Then $\lambda_g - \alpha^n$ is a nonzero element of L and

$$(\alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^{n-2}u_g + \cdots + \alpha u_g^{n-2} + u_g^{n-2})(\lambda_g - \alpha^n)^{-1}$$

is the inverse of $u_g - \alpha$. We know that the number of solutions of the equation $x^n - \lambda_g = 0$ in L does not exceed n. Thus in an infinite field L there are infinitely many elements not satisfying the equation $x^n - \lambda_g = 0$. □

Lemma 4. *Let G be an infinite locally finite group and char(K) does not divide the order of any element of G. If $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group then G is abelian and $K_\lambda G$ is commutative.*

Proof. Let W be a finite subgroup of G. Then the subalgebra $K_\lambda W$ is a semiprime artinian ring and by the Wedderburn-Artin Theorem

$$K_\lambda W = M(n_1, D_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus M(n_t, D_t),$$

where each D_k is a skewfield and $M(n_k, D_k)$ is a full matrix algebra. Let $e_{i,j}, e_{j,i}$ be matrix units in $M(n_k, D_k)$ and $i \neq j$. Then the unipotent elements $1 + e_{i,j}$, $1 + e_{j,i}$ are central in $K_\lambda G$ (see Theorem 1) which is impossible if $i \neq j$. Thus $n_k = 1$ and $K_\lambda W$ is a direct sum of skewfields, $K_\lambda W = D_1 \oplus D_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_t$ and

$$U(K_\lambda W) = U(D_1) \times U(D_2) \times \cdots \times U(D_t).$$

By Scott's Theorem [7] any nonzero element of a skewfield is either central or has an infinite number of conjugates. Therefore $K_\lambda W$ is a direct sum of fields and W is abelian. Since G is a locally finite group, G is abelian and $K_\lambda G$ is a commutative algebra. □

Lemma 5. *Let $K_\lambda G$ be infinite and char(K) does not divide the order of any element of the normal torsion subgroup L of G. If $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group, then all idempotents of $K_\lambda L$ are cental in $K_\lambda L$.*

Proof. Let the idempotent $e \in K_\lambda L$ be noncentral in $K_\lambda G$. Then there are exists $g \in G$ such that $eu_g \neq u_g e$. The subgroup $H = \langle g^{-i} supp(e) g^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$ is finite and for any $a \in G$ the subalgebra $K_\lambda H$ of $K_\lambda L$ is invariant under the inner automorphism $\phi(x) = u_a^{-1} x u_a$. It is easy to see (by Lemma 4) that $K_\lambda H$ is a commutative semisimple K-algebra of finite rank and the idempotent $e \in K_\lambda H$ is a sum of primitive idempotents. Consequently, there exists a primitive idempotent f of $K_\lambda H$ which does not commute with u_g. Then the idempotents f and $u_g^{-1} f u_g$ are orthogonal and $(u_g f)^2 = u_g f u_g f = u_g^2 (u_g^{-1} f u_g) f = 0$. By Theorem 1 the unipotent element $1 + u_g f$ commutes with u_g and $(1 + u_g f)u_g = u_g(1 + u_g f)$ implies $u_g f = f u_g$, which is impossible. Thus, all idempotents of $K_\lambda L$ are central in $K_\lambda G$. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let $U(K_\lambda G)$ be an FC-group and $t(G)$ the set of elements of finite order in G. Then*

1. *G is an FC-group;*
2. *if there exists an infinite subfield L in the center of $K_\lambda G$ such that $L \supseteq K$ then $t(G)$ is central in G and $\lambda_{g,h} = \lambda_{h,g} \quad (h \in t(G),\ g \in G)$.*

Proof. If $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group then $\overline{G} = \{\lambda u_g \mid \lambda \in U(K), g \in G\}$ is an FC-subgroup. Clearly $U(K)$ is normal in $\overline{G}$ and $G \cong \overline{G}/U(K)$. We conclude that G is an FC-group as it is a homomorphic image of the FC-group $\overline{G}$.

Let L be an infinite field which satisfies condition 2. of the Lemma. Then by Lemma 1 for any $h \in t(G)$ there exists a countable set $S = \{\alpha_i \in L \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $u_h - \alpha_i$ is a unit for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $u_g u_h \neq u_h u_g$ for some $g \in G$. Next we observe that the equality

$$(u_h - \alpha_i)u_g(u_h - \alpha_i)^{-1} = (u_h - \alpha_j)u_g(u_g - \alpha_j)^{-1}$$

holds only in case $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$. Since

$$(u_h - \alpha_i)(u_h - \alpha_j)^{-1} = 1 + (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)(u_h - \alpha_j)^{-1},$$

we obtain that $(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)(u_g u_h - u_h u_g) = 0$ and $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$. It follows that the set

$$\{(u_h - \alpha_j)u_g(u_h - \alpha_j)^{-1} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is infinite which contradicts the condition that $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group. Then $u_g u_h = u_h u_g$, so $[g, h] = 1$, $t(G) \subseteq \zeta(G)$ and $\lambda_{g,h} = \lambda_{h,g} \quad (h \in t(G), g \in G)$. $\square$

Lemma 7. *Let G be an abelian torsion group, $K_\lambda G$ a commutative semisimple algebra and v an idempotent of $K_\lambda G$. If $K_\lambda G v$ contains a finite number of idempotents then $K_\lambda G v$ is a direct sum of finitely many fields.*

Proof. If $e_1, \dots, e_s$ are all the idempotents of $K_\lambda G v$, then

$$L = \langle supp(e_1), \dots, supp(e_t)\rangle$$

is a finite subgroup in G and $K_\lambda Lv$ is a direct sum of finitely many fields,

$$K_\lambda Lv = (K_\lambda Lv)f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (K_\lambda Lv)f_t,$$

where $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ are orthogonal primitive idempotents of $K_\lambda Lv$. The corresponding direct sum in $K_\lambda Gv$ is

$$K_\lambda Gv = (K_\lambda Gv)f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (K_\lambda Gv)f_t.$$

We show that the element $0 \neq x \in (K_\lambda Gv)f_i$ is a unit. $R = \langle L, supp(x)\rangle$ is a finite subgroup and $K_\lambda Rv$ is a direct sum of finitely many fields,

$$K_\lambda Rv = (K_\lambda Rv)l_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (K_\lambda Rv)l_t,$$

and each idempotent f_i is either equal to an idempotent l_j or is a sum of these idempotents. If $f_i = l_j$ then $xf_i \in (K_\lambda Rv)l_j$ and x is a unit in $(K_\lambda Lv)f_i$. If $f_i = l_{i_1} + l_{i_2} \quad (l_{i_1}, l_{i_2} \in K_\lambda Lv)$ then $(K_\lambda Lv)f_i = (K_\lambda Lv)l_{i_1} \oplus (K_\lambda Lv)l_{i_2}$, but this does not hold. □

Theorem 3. *Let $K_\lambda G$ be an infinite twisted group algebra of $char(K_\lambda G) = p$, such that $t(G)$ contains a p-element and either the field K is perfect or for any element $g \in G$ of order p^k, the element $u_g^{p^k}$ is an algebraic element over the prime subfield of K. Then $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group if and only if G is an FC-group and satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *$p = 2$ and $\mid G' \mid = 2$;*
2. *$t(G)$ is central in G and $t(G) = G' \times H$, where H is abelian, and has no 2-elements;*
3. *$K_\lambda H$ is a direct sum of a finite number of fields;*[1]
4. *$\{\lambda_{h,h^{-1}}^{-1}\lambda_{h^{-1},g}\lambda_{h^{-1}g,h} \mid h \in H\}$ is a finite set for all $g \in G$.*

Proof. Necessity. By Lemma 6 G is an FC-group. Let g be an element of order p^k. Then $u_g^{p^k} = \lambda_g \in U(K)$, and in the perfect field K we can take the p^k-th root of λ_g which we denote by μ. If K_0 is the prime subfield of K and λ_g is algebraic over K_0 then $K_0(\lambda_g)$ is a finite field and so it is perfect. Thus $u_g - \mu$ is nilpotent and $1 + \mu - u_g$ and (by Theorem 1) the element $1 - (u_g - \mu)u_a$ are central in $U(K_\lambda G)$. Then for any $b \in G$ by

$$u_b(1 - (u_g - \mu)u_a) = (1 - (u_g - \mu)u_a)u_b$$

implies

$$u_b u_g u_a - \mu u_b u_a - u_g u_a u_b + \mu u_a u_b = 0. \tag{4}$$

---

[1] If $K_\lambda H$ is a group ring then H is a finite abelian group.

Each u_g can be written in the form $\mu + (u_g - \mu)$ and so $\mu^{-1}u_g = 1 + \mu^{-1}(u_g - \mu)$. Thus $\mu^{-1}u_g$ is an unipotent element and it commutes with u_b and u_a. Then (4) can be written as

$$u_g u_b u_a - u_g u_a u_b - \mu u_b u_a + \mu u_a u_b = 0. \tag{5}$$

If $[a, b] = 1$ then by (5), $(\lambda_{a,b} - \lambda_{b,a})(u_g - \mu) = 0$. From this equation we get that the coefficient of u_g must be zero and $\lambda_{a,b} = \lambda_{b,a}$. Thus, $u_b u_a = u_a u_b$.

Let $[a, b] \neq 1$. Then by (5), $u_g u_b u_a = -\mu u_a u_b$ and $u_g u_a u_b = -\mu u_b u_a$. So

$$\begin{cases} u_g = -\mu[u_a^{-1}, u_b^{-1}]^{-1}, \\ u_g = -\mu[u_a^{-1}, u_b^{-1}]. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Consequently $u_g^2 = \mu^2$ and $(u_g\mu^{-1})^2 = 1$. Note that in (6) g may be any p-element, further a and b may be any noncommuting elements of G. This is possible only if $p = 2$. Then the commutator subgroup $\overline{G}'$ of group $\overline{G}$ is of order 2 and coincides with the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\overline{G}$. Thus $\overline{G}' \subseteq \zeta(\overline{G})$ and $\overline{G}$ is a nilpotent group of class at most 2. Let

$$L = \langle \mu u_h \mid \mu \in U(K), h \in t(G) \rangle.$$

Then $L/U(K)$ is a torsion nilpotent group and its 2-Sylow subgroup is of order 2. Here L is abelian, because $\overline{G}'$ is of order 2 and it is a subgroup in L. Therefore $t(G)$ is abelian and $t(G) = S \times H$, where $S = \langle g \mid g^2 = 1 \rangle$ is the Sylow 2-subgroup of $t(G)$ and all elements of H are of odd order.

We show that $K_\lambda H$ is central in $K_\lambda G$. Let $h \in H, a \in G$ and $[u_a, u_h] \neq 1$. Then $[u_a, u_h] = \mu u_g$ and

$$\lambda u_{a^{-1}h^{-1}ah} = \mu u_g. \tag{7}$$

It is clear that $[a, h] \in H$ and the order of $[a, h]$ is odd, because H is normal in G. Since g is a 2-element, (7) does not hold. Thus $K_\lambda H$ is central in $K_\lambda G$ and $t(G) \subseteq \zeta(G)$.

Let us prove that $K_\lambda H$ contains only a finite number of idempotents. Suppose $K_\lambda H$ contains an infinite number of idempotents $e_1, e_2, \ldots$. If $d, b \in G$ and $[b, d] = g \neq 1$ then $g^2 = 1$ and (by Lemma 5) the element $1 - e_i + u_d e_i$ is a unit. Clearly,

$$(1 - e_i + u_d e_i)^{-1} u_b (1 - e_i + u_d e_i) = u_b(1 - e_i + \mu u_g e_i),$$

where $\mu = \lambda_{d,d^{-1}}^{-1}\lambda_{b,b^{-1}}^{-1}\lambda_{d^{-1},b}\lambda_{d^{-1}b,d}\lambda_{d^{-1}bd,b^{-1}}$.

If $i \neq j$ then $1 - e_i + \mu u_g e_i \neq 1 - e_j + \mu u_g e_j$. Indeed, if

$$1 - e_i + \mu u_g e_i = 1 - e_j + \mu u_g e_j,$$

then $(e_i - e_j)(\mu u_g - 1) = 0$. Since $e_i - e_j \in K_\lambda H$ and $u_g \notin K_\lambda H$, the last equality is true only in case $i = j$. Therefore if $i \neq j$ then $1 - e_i + \mu u_g e_i \neq 1 - e_j + \mu u_g e_j$ and

u_b has an infinite number of conjugates, which does not hold. Thus $K_\lambda H$ contains a finite number of idempotents $e_1, \dots, e_t$, and (by Lemma 7) $K_\lambda H$ is a direct sum of a finite number of fields.

Since $\{u_g^{-1}u_hu_g \mid g \in G\}$ is a finite set, we obtain condition 4. of the Theorem.

Sufficiency. Let the conditions of the Theorem be satisfied. We prove that $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group.

Let $G' = \langle a \mid a^2 = 1\rangle$ be the commutator subgroup of G and $\mu^2 = \lambda_{a,a}$. Thus the ideal $\mathfrak{I} = K_\lambda G(u_a - \mu)$ is nilpotent.

In $K_\lambda G$ we choose a new basis $\{w_g \mid g \in G\}$,

$$w_g = \begin{cases} u_g, & \text{if } g \in G \setminus \langle a\rangle, \\ \mu^{-1}u_g, & \text{if } g \in \langle a\rangle. \end{cases}$$

Let $G = \cup b_j\langle a\rangle$ be the decomposition of the group G by the left cosets of $\langle a\rangle$. The element $x + \mathfrak{I} \in K_\lambda G/\mathfrak{I}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x + \mathfrak{I} &= \sum_i \alpha_i w_{b_i} + \sum_i \beta_i w_{b_i} w_a + \mathfrak{I} \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i w_{b_i} + \sum_i \beta_i w_b(w_a - 1) + \sum_i \beta_i w_{b_i} + \mathfrak{I} = \sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) w_{b_i} + \mathfrak{I}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that $K_\lambda G/\mathfrak{I}$ is commutative. Indeed

$$(w_g + \mathfrak{I})(w_h + \mathfrak{I}) = w_g w_h + \mathfrak{I} = w_h w_g [w_g, w_h] + \mathfrak{I},$$

and the commutator $[w_g, w_h]$ is either 1 or w_a. If $[w_g, w_h] = w_a$ then

$$w_g w_h + \mathfrak{I} = w_h w_g w_a + \mathfrak{I} = w_h w_g (w_a - 1) + w_h w_g + \mathfrak{I} = w_h w_g + \mathfrak{I}.$$

We will construct the twisted group algebra $K_\mu H$ of the group $H = G/\langle a\rangle$ over the field K with the system of factors μ.

Let $R_l(G/\langle a\rangle)$ be a fixed set of representatives of all left cosets of the subgroup $\langle a\rangle$ in G and $H = \langle h_i = b_i\langle a\rangle \mid b_i \in R_l(G/\langle a\rangle)\rangle$. The element $w_{b_i} + \mathfrak{I}$ is denoted by t_{h_i}. If $h_i h_j = h_k$, then $b_i b_j = b_k a^s$ ($s = \{0, 1\}$), and

$$\begin{aligned} t_{h_i} t_{h_j} &= w_{b_i} w_{b_j} + \mathfrak{I} = \lambda_{b_i,b_j} w_{b_k a^s} + \mathfrak{I} = \lambda_{b_i,b_j} \lambda^{-1}_{b_k,a^s} w_{b_k} w_{a^s} + \mathfrak{I} \\ &= \lambda_{b_i,b_j} \lambda^{-1}_{b_k,a^s} w_{b_k} + \lambda_{b_i,b_j} \lambda^{-1}_{b_k,a^s} w_{b_k}(w_{a^s} - 1) + \mathfrak{I} \\ &= \lambda_{b_i,b_j} \lambda^{-1}_{b_k,a^s} w_{b_k} + \mathfrak{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu_{h_i,h_j} = \lambda_{b_i,b_j}\lambda^{-1}_{b_k,a^s}$ and $\mu = \{\mu_{a,b} \mid a, b \in H\}$. Let $\{t_h \mid h \in H\}$ be a basis of the twisted group algebra $K\mu H$ with the system of factors μ. Clearly, $t_{h_i} t_{h_j} = \mu_{b_i,b_j} t_{h_k}$.

Let $t(H)$ be the set of elements of finite order of H and $H = \cup c_i t(H)$ the decomposition of the group H by the cosets of the subgroup $t(H)$. Then elements $x, x^{-1} \in U(K_\mu H)$ can be given as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_i t_{c_i} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta_i t_{d_i},$$

where α_i, β_j are nonzero elements of $K_\mu t(H)$. The subgroup

$$L = \langle supp(\alpha_1), \dots, supp(\alpha_t), supp(\beta_1), \dots, supp(\beta_s) \rangle$$

is finite and $K_\mu L$ is a direct sum of fields

$$K_\mu L = e_1 K_\mu L \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n K_\mu L. \tag{8}$$

Let $xe_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i t_{ci}$ and $x^{-1}e_k = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i t_{d_i}$, where γ_i, δ_j are nonzero elements of the field $K_\mu L e_k$.

We know [8], that a torsionfree abelian group is orderable. Therefore we can assume that

$$c_{i_1} t(H) < c_{i_2} t(H) < \cdots < c_{i_n} t(H)$$

and

$$d_{j_1} t(H) < d_{j_2} t(H) < \cdots < d_{j_m} t(H).$$

Then $c_{i_1} d_{j_1} t(H)$ is called the least and $c_{i_n} d_{j_m} t(H)$ is called the greatest among the elements of the form $c_{i_s} d_{j_q} t(H)$. It is easy to see that $c_{i_1} d_{j_1} t(H) < c_{i_n} d_{j_m} t(H)$ if $n > 1$ or $m > 1$. Therefore $\gamma \delta_1 t_{c_{i_1}} t_{d_{j_1}} \neq \gamma_n \delta_m t_{c_{i_n}} t_{d_{j_m}}$. Since $x^{-1} e_k x e_k = e_k$, we have $n = m = 1$, $xe_k = \gamma t_{c_r}$ and $x^{-1} e_k = \gamma^{-1} t_{c_r}^{-1}$. Thus, elements x and x^{-1} can be written as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \gamma_i t_{c_i} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \gamma_i^{-1} t_{c_i}^{-1},$$

where $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t$ are orthogonal elements.

Let $\phi : K_\lambda G/\mathfrak{I} \mapsto K_\mu H$ be an isomorphism of these algebras. If $x \in U(K_\lambda G)$ then $\phi(x + \mathfrak{I}) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \gamma_i t_{c_i}$ and $\gamma_i \in K_\mu L e_i$. It is easy to see that there exists an abelian subgroup $\overline{L}$ of G such that $L = \overline{L}/\langle a \rangle$. The algebra $K_\lambda \overline{L}$ is commutative and its radical is a nilpotent ideal equal to $\mathfrak{I} \cap K_\lambda \overline{L}$. Since $K_\mu \overline{L}/(\mathfrak{I} \cap K_\lambda \overline{L}) \cong K_\lambda L$, by the classic method of lifting idempotents, there exist idempotents $f_1, \dots, f_t$ in $K_\mu \overline{L}$ such that $f_1 + \cdots + f_t = 1$ and $f_i + \mathfrak{I} = e_i$. Then $x = xf_1 + \cdots + xf_t$ and $\phi(xf_i + \mathfrak{I}) = \gamma_i t_{c_i}$, where $h_i = b_i \langle a \rangle, b_i \in G$. Then there exists an element $v_i \in K_\lambda \overline{L} f_i$ such that $\phi(v_i + \mathfrak{I}) = \gamma_i$ and $\phi(v_i w_{g_i} + \mathfrak{I}) = \gamma_i t_{h_i}$. We can find an element $r \in \mathfrak{I}$ such that $xf_i = (v_i + rf_i) w_{g_i}$.

Clearly $s_i = v_i + rf_i$ is a unit in $K_\mu \overline{L} f_i$ and is central in $K_\lambda G$. Then $s_1, \dots, s_t$ are orthogonal and $x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} s_i w_{g_i}$, $x^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} s_i^{-1} w_{g_i}^{-1}$. Since $s_i \in \zeta(K_\lambda G)$, $x^{-1} w_g x = \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_{g_i}^{-1} w_g w_{g_i}$ for any $g \in G$. Because G is an FC-group, then by condition 4. of the Theorem w_g has finite number of conjugates. Thus $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group. □

Lemma 8. *Let K be a field such that char(K) does not divide the order of any element of $t(G)$, $K_\lambda t(G)$ a commutative algebra that does not contain a nontrivial minimal idempotent. Then for any idempotent $e \in K_\lambda t(G)$ there exists an infinite set of idempotents $e_1 = e, e_2, \dots$ such that*

$$e_k e_{k+1} = e_{k+1} \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{9}$$

Proof. Suppose $K_\lambda t(G)$ does not contain a nontrivial minimal idempotent. First we prove that for any idempotent there exists an infinite set of idempotents $e_1, e_2, \dots$ in $K_\lambda t(G)$ satisfying condition (9).

Let e_1 be an idempotent of $K_\lambda t(G)$ and $H_1 = \langle supp(e_1) \rangle$. Then the ideal $K_\lambda t(G) e_1$ is not minimal and contains a nontrivial ideal $\mathfrak{I}_1$ of $K_\lambda t(G)$. Let $0 \neq x_1 \in \mathfrak{I}_1$ and $H_2 = \langle H_1, supp(x_1) \rangle$. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{I}_1} = \mathfrak{I}_1 \cap K_\lambda H_2$ is an ideal of $K_\lambda H_2$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{I}_1}$ is generated by the idempotent e_2 because H_2 is a finite subgroup of $t(G)$ and the commutative algebra $K_\lambda H_2$ is semiprime. It is easy to see that $e_1 = e_2 + f$, $f \neq 0$ and $e_1 e_2 = e_2$. Indeed, if $f = 0$, then $e_1 = e_2$ and $K_\lambda t(G) e_1 = K_\lambda t(G) e_2 \subset \mathfrak{I}_1$, which does not hold. The ideal $K_\lambda t(G) e_2$ contains a nontrivial ideal $\mathfrak{I}_2$ of $K_\lambda t(G)$. We choose a nonzero element $0 \neq x_2 \in \mathfrak{I}_2$ and construct the subgroup $H_3 = \langle H_2, supp(x_2) \rangle$. The ideal $\overline{\mathfrak{I}_2} = \mathfrak{I}_2 \cap K_\lambda H_3$ is generated by the idempotent e_3 and $e_2 e_3 = e_3 \neq e_2$. This method enables us to construct an infinite number of idempotents $e_1, e_2, \dots$, satisfying condition (9), which completes the proof. □

Lemma 9. *Let K be a field such that char(K) does not divide the order of any element of $t(G)$, and $U(K_\lambda G)$ an FC-group. If the commutative algebra $K_\lambda t(G)$ contains an infinite number of idempotents $f_1, f_2, \dots$ and $g = [a, b]$ $(a, b \in G)$ is an element of order n then the commutators $[u_a, u_b]$ and $[a, b]$ have the same order and*

$$(f_i - f_j)(1 - [u_a, u_b]) = 0 \tag{10}$$

for some $i \neq j$.

Proof. Let $g = [a, b] \neq 1$, where $a, b \in G$. By B.H. Neumann's Theorem $G/t(G)$ is abelian, thus $g \in t(G)$ and $1 - f_i + u_b f_i$ is a unit in $K_\lambda G$. The element u_a has a finite number of conjugates in $U(K_\lambda G)$ and

$$(1 - f_i + u_b^{-1} f_i) u_a (1 - f_i + u_b f_i) = u_a (1 - f_i + [u_a, u_b] f_i).$$

Consequently there exist i and j $(i < j)$, such that

$$1 - f_i + [u_a, u_b]f_i = 1 - f_j + [u_a, u_b]f_j$$

and

$$(f_i - f_j)(1 - [u_a, u_b]) = 0. \tag{11}$$

If n is the order of $g = [a, b]$ then

$$[u_a, u_b] = \lambda^{-1}_{a^{-1},a}\lambda^{-1}_{b^{-1},b}\lambda_{a^{-1},b^{-1}}\lambda_{a^{-1}b^{-1},a}\lambda_{a^{-1}b^{-1}a,b}u_g$$

and $[u_a, u_b]^n = \gamma \in U(K)$. Then by (11) we have that $\gamma(f_i - f_j) = f_i - f_j$. Thus $\gamma = 1$ and $[u_a, u_b]^n = 1$. $\square$

Theorem 4. *Let $K_\lambda G$ be an infinite twisted group algebra, and $char(K)$ does not divide the order of any element of $t(G)$. If $K_\lambda t(G)$ contains only a finite number of idempotents then $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group if and only if G is an FC-group and the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *all idempotents of $K_\lambda t(G)$ are central in $K_\lambda G$;*
2. *$\{\lambda^{-1}_{h,h^{-1}}\lambda_{h^{-1},g}\lambda_{h^{-1}g,h} \mid h \in H\}$ is a finite set for every $g \in G$;*
3. *$K_\lambda t(G)$ is a direct sum of a finite number of fields;*
4. *if $K_\lambda t(G)$ is infinite then it is central in $K_\lambda G$.*

Proof. Necessity. By Lemma 4, 6 and 7 $K_\lambda t(G)$ is commutative, G is an FC-group and all idempotents of $K_\lambda t(G)$ are central in $K_\lambda G$. Since $\{u_g^{-1}u_hu_g \mid g \in G\}$ is a finite set, condition 2. of the Theorem is satisfied.

Since $K_\lambda t(G)$ contains only a finite number of idempotents (by Lemma 7) $K_\lambda t(G)$ is a direct sum of a finite number of fields. Let $K_\lambda t(G)$ be infinite and $K_\lambda t(G)e_i$ is invariant under the inner automorphism $\varphi(x) = u_g^{-1}xu_g$ for any $g \in G$. Since $\langle u_g, K_\lambda t(G)e_i \setminus \{0\}\rangle$ is an FC-group there are exists an infinite subfield L_g of $K_\lambda t(G)e_i$ such that $yu_g = u_gy$ for every $y \in L$. Let $H = \langle g, t(G)\rangle$. Then $K_\lambda H$ is a subalgebra of $K_\lambda G$ and (by Lemma 6) $K_\lambda t(G)$ is central in $K_\lambda H$.

Sufficiency. Let $K_\lambda t(G)$ be a direct sum of fields,

$$K_\lambda t(G) = F_1 \oplus F_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus F_t.$$

Then $F_i = K_\lambda t(G)e_i$, where e_i is a central idempotent in $K_\lambda G$. It is easy to see that $K_\lambda G$ is a direct sum of ideals

$$K_\lambda G = K_\lambda Ge_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_\lambda Ge_t. \tag{12}$$

Let us prove that $K_\lambda Ge_q$ is isomorphic to a crossed product $F_q * H$ of the group $H = G/t(G)$ and the field F_q.

Let $R_l(G/t(G))$ be a fixed set of representatives of all left cosets of the subgroup $t(G)$ in G. Any element $x \in K_\lambda Ge_q$ can be written as

$$x = e_q u_{c_1}\gamma_1 + \cdots + e_q u_{c_s}\gamma_s,$$

where $\gamma_k \in K_\lambda t(G), c_k \in R_l(G/t(G))$. If $c_i c_j = c_k h \quad (h \in t(G)) \quad$ then

$$u_{c_i}u_{c_j} = u_{c_ic_j}\lambda_{c_i,c_j} = u_{c_kh}\lambda_{c_i,c_j} = u_{c_k}u_h\lambda^{-1}_{c_k,h}\lambda_{c_i,c_j}.$$

We will construct the crossed product $F_q * H$, where

$$H = \{h_i = c_i t(G) \mid c_i \in R_l(G/t(G))\}.$$

Let $\alpha \in F_q$ and σ be a map from H to the group of automorphism $Aut(F_q)$ of the field F_q such that $\sigma(h_i)(\alpha) = u_{c_i}^{-1}\alpha u_{c_i}$ and let $\mu_{h_i,h_j} = u_h\lambda^{-1}_{c_k,h}\lambda_{c_i,c_j}$.

Clearly, the set $\mu = \{\mu_{a,b} \in U(F_q) \mid a,b \in H\}$ of nonzero elements of field F_q, satisfies

$$\mu_{a,bc}\mu_{b,c} = \mu_{ab,c}\mu_{a,b}^{\sigma(c)},$$

and

$$\alpha^{\sigma(a)\sigma(b)} = \mu^{-1}_{a,b}\alpha^{\sigma(ab)}\mu_{a,b},$$

where $\alpha \in F_q$ and $a,b,c \in H$.

Then $F_q * H = \{\sum_{h\in H} w_h\alpha_h \mid \alpha_h \in F_q\}$ is a crossed product of the group H and the field F_q and we have $w_{d_i}w_{d_j} = w_{d_k}\mu_{d_i,d_j}$ and $\alpha w_{d_i} = w_{d_i}\alpha^{\sigma(d_i)}$.

Clearly, $F_q * H$ and $K_\lambda Ge_q$ are isomorphic because

$$u_{c_i}\alpha u_{c_j} = u_{c_i}u_{c_j}(u_{c_j}^{-1}\alpha u_{c_j}) = u_{c_k}\mu_{c_i,c_j}\alpha^{\sigma(c_j)}.$$

We know [5] that the group of units of the crossed product $K * H$ of torsionfree abelian group H and the field K consists of the elements $w_h\alpha$, where $\alpha \in U(K)$, $h \in H$. By (12) for every $y \in U(K_\lambda G)$,

$$y = u_{c_1}\gamma_1 + \cdots + u_{c_t}\gamma_t \quad \text{and} \quad y^{-1} = u_{c_1}^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1} + \cdots + u_{c_t}^{-1}\gamma_t^{-1},$$

where $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t$ are orthogonal elements.

Let $x = \delta_1 u_{d_1} + \cdots + \delta_t u_{d_t} \in U(K_\lambda G)$. Then

$$yxy^{-1} = u_{c_1}\gamma_1\delta_1 u_{d_1}u_{c_1}^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1} + \cdots + u_{c_t}\gamma_1\delta_t u_{d_t}u_{c_t}^{-1}\gamma_t^{-1}.$$

If $K_\lambda t(G)$ is infinite then $K_\lambda t(G) \subseteq \zeta(K_\lambda G)$ and

$$yxy^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{t}\delta_i u_{c_i}u_{d_i}u_{c_i}^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{t}\delta_i\lambda^{-1}_{c_i,c_i^{-1}}\lambda_{c_i,d_i}\lambda_{c_id_i,c_i^{-1}}u_{c_id_ic_i{}^{-1}}.$$

Since G is an FC-group, by condition 2. of the Theorem, x has a finite number of conjugates, so $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group.

If $K_\lambda t(G)$ is finite then F_q is a finite field and

$$\begin{aligned} y^{-1}xy &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} \gamma_i^{-1} u_{c_i}^{-1} \delta_i u_{d_i} u_{c_i} \gamma_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda^{-1}_{c_i,c_i^{-1}} \lambda_{c_i^{-1},d_i} \lambda_{c_i^{-1}d_i,c_i} \gamma_1^{-1} \delta_i^{\sigma(c_i^{-1})} \gamma_i^{\sigma(c_i^{-1}d_i^{-1}c_i)} u_{c_i^{-1}d_ic_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since G is an FC-group and F_q is a finite field, x has a finite number of conjugates, so $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group. $\square$

Theorem 5. *Let $K_\lambda G$ be an infinite algebra over field K, and char(K) does not divide the order of any element of $t(G)$. If the algebra $K_\lambda t(G)$ contains an infinite number of idempotents then $U(K_\lambda G)$ is an FC-group if and only if G is an FC-group and the following conditions are satisfies*

1. *$K_\lambda t(G)$ is central in $K_\lambda G$ and contains a minimal idempotent;*
2. *$\{\lambda^{-1}_{h,h^{-1}} \lambda_{h^{-1},g} \lambda_{h^{-1}g,h} \mid h \in H\}$ is a finite set for any $g \in G$;*
3. *the commutator subgroups of G and of $\overline{G}$ are isomorphic and G' is either a finite group or isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{Z}(q^\infty)$ $(q \neq p)$, and there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the field K does not contain the primitive q^n-th root of 1;*
4. *for every finite subgroup H of the commutator subgroup of $\overline{G}$ the element $e_H = \frac{1}{|H|}\sum_{h\in H} h$ is a nonzero idempotent of $K_\lambda t(G)$, and $K_\lambda t(G)(1-e_H)$ is a direct sum of a finite number of fields.*[2]

Proof. Necessity. By Lemma 4, 6 and 7 $K_\lambda t(G)$ is commutative, G is an FC-group and all idempotents of $K_\lambda t(G)$ are central in $K_\lambda G$.

Let us prove that $K_\lambda t(G)$ contains a minimal idempotent. Suppose the contrary. Let $a, b \in G$ and $1 \neq [a,b] = g$. Since g is an element of finite order n, by Lemma 9, $[u_a, u_b]^n = 1$ and

$$f = \tfrac{1}{n}(1 + [u_a,u_b]^1 + [u_a,u_b]^2 + \cdots + [u_a,u_b]^{n-1})$$

is an idempotent. By Lemma 11, for the idempotent $1-f$ one can construct an infinite sequence of idempotents $e_1 = 1-f, e_2, \dots$ satisfying (9). By Lemma 9,

$$(1-[u_a,u_b])(e_i - e_j) = 0,$$

where $i < j$. Consequently $([u_a,u_b])^k(e_i - e_j) = (e_i - e_j)$ for all k and $f(e_i - e_j) = (e_i - e_j)$. This implies $(1-f)(e_i-e_j) = 0$. Since $e_1 = 1-f$, $e_1(e_i - e_j) = 0$. If we multiply this equality from the right by the elements $e_2, \dots, e_{j-1}$, by (9) we obtain $(e_{j-1} - e_j) = 0$. Now we arrived at a contradiction, which proves that $K_\lambda t(G)$ contains a minimal idempotent.

---

[2] If $K_\lambda G$ is a group ring, then 1) and 3) implies 4) (see [6] p.690, Lemma 4.3, also [10]).

It is easy to see that $t(G)$ is infinite, otherwise $K_\lambda t(G)$ would contain a finite number of idempotents. $Kt(G)$ contains a minimal idempotent e, and there exists only a finite number of elements $g \in t(G)$, such that $eu_g = e$. Consequently $K_\lambda t(G)e$ is an infinite field and contains K as a subfield. Then as in the proof of Theorem 4, $K_\lambda t(G)$ is central in $K_\lambda G$.

Since $\{u_g^{-1} u_h u_g \mid g \in G\}$ is a finite set, we obtain condition 2. of the Theorem.

Suppose $c \in G'$ and

$$c = [a_1, b_1][a_2, b_2] \cdots [a_n, b_n].$$

Since $K_\lambda t(G)$ is central in $K_\lambda G$ and $1 - e_i + e_i u_{b_k} \in U(K_\lambda t(G))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1 - e_i + e_i u_{b_k}^{-1}) u_{a_k} (1 - e_i + e_i u_{b_k}) &= \prod_{k=1}^{n}(u_{a_k}(1 - e_i + e_i[u_{a_k}, u_{b_k}])) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n}(u_{a_k})(\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1 - e_i + e_i[u_{a_k}, u_{b_k}])).\end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since each $u_{a_1}, u_{a_2}, \dots, u_{a_k}$ has a finite number of conjugates, there are only a finite number of different elements of form $\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1 - e_i + e_i[u_{a_k}, u_{b_k}])$. We denoted these elements by $w_1, \dots, w_t$. Let

$$W_r(c) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \prod_{k=1}^{n}(1 - e_i + e_i[u_{a_k}, u_{b_k}]) = w_r\}.$$

It is easy to see that the set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ can be written as a union of subsets $W_i(c)$ $(i = 1, \dots, t)$, of which at least one is infinite. If $W_1(c)$ is infinite and $i, j \in W_1(c)$ then

$$(e_i - e_j)(1 - \prod_{k=1}^{n}[u_{a_k}, u_{b_k}]) = 0. \tag{13}$$

It implies that if

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n}[u_{a_k}, u_{b_k}] = \gamma \in U(K),$$

then $\gamma = 1$.

Now we prove that the commutator subgroups of G and of $\overline{G}$ are isomorphic. It is easy to see that the map $\tau(\lambda u_g) = g \quad (\lambda \in U(K), g \in G)$ is a homomorphism from $\overline{G}$ to G. Every element $h \in \overline{G}'$ can be written as

$$h = [u_{a_1}, u_{b_1}][u_{a_2}, u_{b_2}] \cdots [u_{a_n}, u_{b_n}].$$

As we have shown above, if $h = \lambda \in U(K)$ then $\lambda = 1$. Thus, τ is an isomorphism from $\overline{G}'$ to G'.

Let H be a finite subgroup of $\overline{G}'$. Then $e_H = \frac{1}{|H|}\sum_{h\in H} h$ is an idempotent of $K_\lambda t(G)$. Suppose that $K_\lambda t(G)(1-e_H)$ contains infinite number of idempotents $e_1, e_2, \dots$. If $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s\}$, then as it is shown above, for every $h_j \in H$,

$$\mathbb{N} = W_1(h_j) \cup \cdots \cup W_{r(j)}(h_j),$$

where $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$, and for every $k \neq l$, $W_k(h_j)$ and $W_l(h_j)$ have the empty intersection.

It is clear that there exists an infinite subset $M = W_{i_1}(h_1) \cap \cdots \cap W_{i_s}(h_s)$. If $i, j \in M$, then by (13), we have $(e_i - e_j)(1 - h_r) = 0$ for any r. Then

$$e_i - e_j = \frac{1}{\mid H \mid}\sum_{r=1}^{s}(h_r(e_i - e_j)) = e_H(e_i - e_j). \tag{14}$$

Since $(e_i - e_j) \in K_\lambda t(G)(1-e_H)$, by (14),

$$(e_i - e_j) = (1-e_H)(e_i - e_j) = (e_i - e_j) - e_H(e_i - e_j) = 0.$$

Thus, $K_\lambda t(G)(1-e_H)$ contains a finite number of idempotents, and by Lemma 7, it can be given as a direct sum of a finite number of fields.

Let us prove that there exist only finitely many elements of prime order in G'.

Suppose the contrary. If $a, b \in G$ then $1 \neq [a,b] = g \in t(G)$. As we have seen above, if $h \in G'$, then there exists $\mu \in U(K)$ such that the order of the element μu_h equals the order of h. Then there exists a countably infinite subgroup S, generated by elements of prime order, such that $\langle g\rangle \cap S = 1$. By Prüffer's Theorem [9] S is a direct product of cyclic subgroups $S = \prod_j \langle a_j\rangle$ and q_j is the order of element a_j. Then

$$e_j = \tfrac{1}{q_j}(1 + \mu u_{a_j} + (\mu u_{a_j})^2 + \cdots + (\mu u_{a_j})^{q_j - 1})$$

is a central idempotent and $x_i = 1 - e_i + e_i u_a \in U(K_\lambda G)$. By lemma 9 $(e_i - e_j)(1 - \mu u_g) = 0$. Since $g \notin S$ we have $i = j$, which does not hold. Consequently G' contains only a finite number of elements of prime order and satisfies the minimum condition for subgroups (see [8]). Then

$$G' \cong P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_t \times H,$$

where $P_i = \mathbb{Z}(q^\infty)$ and $\mid H \mid < \infty$. Let us prove that either $G' = \mathbb{Z}(q^\infty)$ or $\mid G' \mid$ is finite.

Let $a, b \in G$ and $1 \neq [a,b] = g \in t(G)$. Suppose there exists i such that $g \notin P_i = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots \mid a_1^q = 1, a_{j+1}^q = a_j\rangle$. Then

$$e_k = \tfrac{1}{q^k}(1 + \mu u_{a_j} + (\mu u_{a_j})^2 + \cdots + (\mu u_{a_j})^{q^k - 1})$$

is an idempotent and $(e_i - e_j)(1 - \mu u_g = 0$. This is true only for $i = j$, if $g \notin P_i$, which is impossible. Thus, either $G' \cong \mathbb{Z}(q^\infty)$ or G' is a finite subgroup.

Let K be a field which contains primitive q^n-th root ε_n of 1 for all n and

$$P_1 = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots \mid a_1^{q^n} = 1, \quad a_{j+1}^{q^n} = a_j \rangle.$$

Put

$$e_j = \tfrac{1}{q^j}(1 + \varepsilon_j \mu u_{a_j} + (\varepsilon_j \mu u_{a_j})^2 + \cdots + (\varepsilon_j \mu u_{a_j})^{q^j - 1}).$$

If $i \neq j$ then $(e_i - e_j)(1 - \mu u_g) \neq 0$ and by Lemma 9 this is impossible. Thus there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that K does not contain a primitive q^n-th root ε_n of 1.

Sufficiency. Let us prove that any element u_g $(g \in G)$ has a finite number of conjugates in $U(K_\lambda G)$.

Let $\overline{G} = \{\kappa u_a \mid \kappa \in U(K), a \in G\}$. We prove that $H = \langle [u_g, \overline{G}] \rangle$ is a finite subgroup in $\overline{G}'$. If $\overline{G}'$ is finite, it is then obvious. If $\overline{G}'$ is infinite then it is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group $\mathbb{Z}(q^\infty)$. Any element of the group $\overline{G}$ is of the form $\mu u_h \quad (\mu \in U(K), h \in G)$ and

$$[u_g, \mu u_h] = \lambda^{-1}_{g,g^{-1}} \lambda^{-1}_{h,h^{-1}} \lambda_{g^{-1},h^{-1}} \lambda_{g^{-1}h^{-1},g} \lambda_{g^{-1}h^{-1}g,h} u_{g^{-1}h^{-1}gh}.$$

Since G is an FC-group, and for a fixed element g the set $\{\lambda^{-1}_{h,h^{-1}} \lambda_{h^{-1},g} \lambda_{h^{-1}g,h} \mid h \in H\}$ is finite, the number of commutators $[u_g, \mu u_h]$ is finite. These commutators generate a finite cyclic subgroup H of $\mathbb{Z}(q^\infty)$. The element $e_H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h$ is a nonzero idempotent in $K_\lambda t(G)$ and by condition 4. of the Theorem $K_\lambda t(G)(1 - e_H)$ is a direct sum of a finite number of fields $K_\lambda t(G)(1 - e_H) f_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, s)$.

In $K_\lambda t(G)$ we have the decomposition

$$K_\lambda t(G) = K_\lambda t(G) e_H \oplus K_\lambda t(G) f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_\lambda t(G) f_t.$$

Then

$$K_\lambda G = K_\lambda G e_H \oplus K_\lambda G f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus K_\lambda G f_t.$$

If $x \in U(K_\lambda G)$ then

$$x = x e_H + x f_1 + \cdots + x f_t \quad \text{and} \quad x^{-1} = x^{-1} e_H + x^{-1} f_1 + \cdots + x^{-1} f_t.$$

Consequently

$$x^{-1} u_g x = x^{-1} e_H u_g x e_h + x^{-1} f_1 u_g x f_1 + \cdots + x^{-1} f_t u_g x f_t.$$

We show that the element $x e_H$ is central in $U(K_\lambda G)$. If $x = \alpha_1 u_{h_1} + \cdots + \alpha_t u_{h_t}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u_g x e_H &= \alpha_1 u_g u_{h_1} e_H + \cdots + \alpha_t u_g u_{h_t} e_H \\ &= \alpha_1 u_{h_1} u_g [u_g, u_{h_1}] e_H + \cdots + \alpha_t u_{h_t} [u_g, u_{h_t}] e_H \end{aligned}$$

and $[u_g, u_h] \in H$. Clearly, $[u_g, u_{h_k}]e_H = e_H$ and

$$u_g x e_H = \alpha_1 u_{h_1} u_g e_H + \cdots + \alpha_t u_{h_t} e_H = x e_H u_g.$$

$K_\lambda G f_i$ is a crossed product $F * H$ of the group $H = G/t(G)$ and the field $F = K_\lambda t(G) f_i$. We know ([5]) that the group of units of the crossed product $F * H$ of a torsionfree abelian group H and a field F consists of the elements $\alpha u_h \quad (\alpha \in U(F), h \in H)$. The unit element $x f_i$ can be given as $\alpha_i u_{h_i}$, where $h \in G$ and α_i is central in $U(K_\lambda G f_i)$. Thus

$$x^{-1} f_i u_g x f_i = u_{h_i}^{-1} \alpha_i^{-1} u_g \alpha_i u_{h_i} = u_{h_i}^{-1} u_g u_{h_i} = \lambda^{-1}_{h_i^{-1}, h_i} \lambda_{h_i^{-1}, g} \lambda_{h_i^{-1} g, h_i} u_{h_i^{-1} g h_i}.$$

Therefore

$$x^{-1} u_g x = u_g + \sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda^{-1}_{h_i^{-1}, h_i} \lambda_{h_i^{-1}, g} \lambda_{h_i^{-1} g, h_i} u_{h_i^{-1} g h_i}.$$

Since G is an FC-group, by condition 2. of the Theorem, u_g has a finite number of conjugates in $U(K_\lambda G)$. $\square$

References

1. S.K.Sehgal, H.J. Zassenhaus, *Group rings whose units form an FC-group*, Math. Z. **153** (1977), 29-35.
2. S.K.Sehgal, H.Cliff, *Group rings whose units form an FC-group*, Math. Z. **161** (1978), 169-183.
3. B.H.Neumann, *Groups with finite classes of conjugate elements*, Proc. London Math.Soc. **1** (1951), 178-187.
4. A.Kertész, *Lectures on artinian rings*, Akadémiai kiadó, Budapest, 1987.
5. A.A.Bovdi, *Group rings*, Kiev, UMK VO, 1988.
6. D.S.Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, A Wiley-interscience publ, New York-Sydney- Toronto, 1977.
7. W.R.Scott, *On the multiplicative group of a division ring*, Proc. Amer. Soc **8** (1957), 303-305.
8. L.Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Budapest:, Publishing house of Hung. Acad. Sci., 1959.
9. A.G.Kurosh, *Theory of Groups*, New York:, Chelsea, 1955.
10. J.S.Richardson, *Primitive idempotents and the socle in group rings of periodic abelian groups*, Composito mathematica Fasc **32** (1976), 203-223.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
BESSENYEI TEACHERS' TRAINING COLLEGE,
4400, NYÍREGYHÁZA,
HUNGARY
EMAIL: VBOVDI@MATH.KLTE.HU